

July 22, 2008

County of Greene, Virginia

THE CONTINUED MEETING OF THE GREENE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS WAS HELD ON TUESDAY, JULY 22, 2008 AT 5:30 P.M. IN THE COUNTY MEETING ROOM.

Present were: Steve Catalano, Chairman
Clarence Peyton, Vice Chairman
Jeri Allen, Member
Carl Schmitt, Member
Mike Skeens, Member
Ray Clarke, County Attorney
Barry Clark, County Administrator
Patti Vogt, Deputy Clerk
Tracy Morris, Finance Director

RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion by Jeri Allen and unanimous vote, the Board entered into Executive Session to discuss legal and personnel matters pursuant to Section 2.2-3711 Subsection (a, 1-7) of the Code of Virginia.

Contract Matters:

- Water/Sewer
- Solid Waste Facility
- Building Maintenance
- Administration
- Erosion and Sediment Control

Land Acquisition:

- Water/Sewer

Personnel:

- Administration

Various Appointments:

- Jefferson Area Board for Aging Advisory Council

Recorded vote:	Steve Catalano	-	Yes
	Clarence Peyton	-	Yes
	Jeri Allen	-	Yes
	Carl Schmitt	-	Yes
	Mike Skeens	-	Yes

Motion carried.

Upon motion by Carl Schmitt and unanimous vote, the Board returned to Open Session.

Recorded vote:	Steve Catalano	-	Yes
	Clarence Peyton	-	Yes
	Jeri Allen	-	Yes
	Carl Schmitt	-	Yes
	Mike Skeens	-	Yes

Motion carried.

By unanimous vote, all members certified that only public business matters lawfully exempted from the Open Meeting requirement and only such matters as identified by the motion to enter into Executive Session were discussed.

Recorded vote:	Steve Catalano	-	Yes
	Clarence Peyton	-	Yes
	Jeri Allen	-	Yes
	Carl Schmitt	-	Yes
	Mike Skeens	-	Yes

Motion carried.

RE: APPOINTMENT TO JABA ADVISORY COUNCIL

Upon motion by Jeri Allen and unanimous vote, the Board appointed Ms. Renee Mawyer to the Jefferson Area Board for Aging Advisory Council for a two year term.

Recorded vote:	Steve Catalano	-	Yes
	Clarence Peyton	-	Yes
	Jeri Allen	-	Yes
	Carl Schmitt	-	Yes
	Mike Skeens	-	Yes

Motion carried.

RE: PUBLIC HEARING – W. COPLEY MCLEAN/SJS LIMITED COMPANY

Mr. Bart Svoboda, Zoning Administrator, said the applicant has requested a deferral to the August 26, 2008 meeting to allow additional time to address VDOT comments and concerns of adjacent property owners.

Upon motion by Jeri Allen and unanimous vote, the Board deferred the rezone request from W. Copley McLean/SJS Limited Company to Tuesday, August 26, 2008.

Recorded vote:	Steve Catalano	-	Yes
	Clarence Peyton	-	Yes
	Jeri Allen	-	Yes
	Carl Schmitt	-	Yes
	Mike Skeens	-	Yes

Motion carried.

RE: PUBLIC HEARING – CARROLL & LYNETTE MORRIS/K & B PROPERTIES
REZONE

Mr. Bart Svoboda, Zoning Administrator, reviewed the request from Carroll & Lynette Morris/K & B Properties to rezone from A-1, Agriculture, to B-2, Business and R-2, Residential, approximately 327.54 acres located on Spotswood Trail, Dunnes Shop Road, and M & M Road and identified on County Tax Maps as 50-(A)-42A, 42B, 45 and 59-(A)-19, 19A, 21, 25, 26, 27 and 28A. (RZ#06-002) The proposal is to rezone 52 acres B-2 and 275.5 acres R-2 with used to include business, retail, office and residential.

The Planning Commission recommended denial due to the following:

- lack of a traffic impact analysis
- questions regarding an adequate water supply due to lack of comment by Army Corp of Engineers on all proposed impoundment locations

- lack of understanding regarding school impacts and financial issues

Mr. Svoboda reviewed submitted proffers which included the following:

- 42 acres designated for a reservoir.
- Phased development – 75 single family residential units per year and no more than 125 multi-family residential units per year with a five (5) year build-out. Total number of residential units shall not exceed 730.
- If acreage for impoundment is conveyed back to record owner, applicant agrees to pay \$5,771 (current cash proffer amount) for each residential unit in excess of 88.
- Buffer around boundary of proffered acreage will be greater than 100 feet wide or to an elevation of 625 feet.
- Applicant shall conduct a Chapter 527 Traffic Impact Study for the entire project prior to submission of site plans after final zoning approval. In addition, applicant will pay \$2,000 for each residential lot developed and \$10,000 for each commercial lot developed after final zoning.
- Applicant shall dedicate and convey in fee simple to Greene County the right-of-way dedication for the proposed connector road connecting Route 617 and Route 33 as generally depicted on the CDP.
- Applicant shall conduct a warrant study for the intersection of US Route 33 at the median break and site entrance at the time of the submission of the first site plan for the property with a commercial use and reflecting full build-out of the site.

- Public utilities shall be utilized in the development with the exception of a residential lot to be retained by applicant. Such single residential lot may use private or public water and sewerage as determined by the owner. All public utilities within the property will be provided by the applicant at the applicant's sole cost. Applicant recognizes that unless and until water impoundment is constructed, public water is not available for the residential portion. The applicant may construct ground water wells on property to provide water supply to residential development prior to commissioning of any new reservoir. Applicant shall dedicate such ground water wells to Greene County for public use prior to commissioning the wells and Greene County, at the time of dedication of such wells, shall reimburse applicant for all costs associated with the development and construction of such wells.
- Applicant shall provide on-site storm water detention consistent with requirements of Federal, State and local laws.

Mr. Dick Johnson, Blackwell Engineering, was present to discuss the rezone request on behalf of the applicant. The applicant feels the development, as proposed, is as good as can be offered for Greene County in this area. Applicant knows there are concerns regarding domestic water which need to be resolved before any development can occur on this property. In regards to traffic issues, major development on this site could be delayed 10 years. A traffic study is only good for two years and the applicant feels it would be a waste of money to do traffic study now when traffic on Route 33 will change even without this development in two years.

The Chairman opened the floor for public comment. The Board heard comments from the following citizens who were opposed to the request for rezone based on availability of water; sewer issues; traffic impact and lack of traffic study; impact on schools, emergency services, and county services such as social services; concern for the children in the schools; lack of comments by Sheriff on impact to his office; and potential impact on programs such as CSA: Harriett Bowie, Frank Wilczek, Matt Strauss, Diane Goerlitz, Alan Laudermilch, Andrea Wilkinson, Jenny Dietzel, Fran Soucy, Lee Estes and Marty Silman

Approval of this project, as proposed, would not be to the County's advantage.

Mrs. Pat Morris spoke in support of the proposed rezone noting that the Morris family has lived here all their lives and made a substantial investment in the community. Mr. Morris has proffered 82 acres for a reservoir. Houses can be built by right which would then not include water impoundment.

The Chairman closed the public hearing.

Vice Chairman Clarence Peyton asked if the property is currently in land use and would have to pay roll back taxes. Mr. Svoboda said the property is in land use. Mrs. Allen said she believes roll back taxes occur when the use of the land changes.

Mr. Peyton questioned the value of the land proffer. Mrs. Allen noted the cash proffer value of the 42 acres would be \$3,762,000 based on the current cash proffer of \$5,771. The County would receive the land in lieu of a cash proffer.

Mr. Peyton said he had hoped to have some point of negotiation that would be acceptable to the applicant, the Board, and constituents. There are a couple of drawbacks that would be

impossible for the County to deal with such as the proffer stating the reservoir property would be conveyed back to the owner if there is no water impoundment within 5 years.

Mr. Carroll Morris said the proffer is actually for 86 acres and means the County would have to get approval of the reservoir by the State Corporation Commission within five years.

Mr. Peyton said he wouldn't want to bet that the County could get approval in five years. He also said he has a problem with using the current proffer amount for future development since proffers could increase substantially during the time before development occurs.

Mr. Morris said the proffer amount was \$2,500 when he submitted this request to the Board of Supervisors 2 ½ years ago. He was asked to give the County time to do conduct a study and the proffer amount has doubled since then.

Mr. Schmitt asked for clarification of the dedicated acreage. Mr. Morris said the total is 86 acres which includes the buffer and land below the dam. Forty-two acres would actually be under water.

Mr. Peyton asked if it is routine or customary to not receive a traffic study until after final zoning. Mr. Svoboda referred to a memo from VDOT indicating the proposed project falls within the threshold for the County to require a Chapter 527 TIA study.

Mrs. Allen said 730 houses is Greene County's "Biscuit Run". The County has never had to deal with anything quite this big before. This development could have 300 to 550 school children which would have a big impact on the schools. She noted the current enrollment at Ruckersville Elementary School is 550 students. There is no way to assess the impact on emergency services, Parks and Rec, etc. Even the Library could be swamped by a development

of this size. That number of houses and that number of children could very quickly overwhelm the County's ability to provide services.

Mrs. Allen commented that the 42 acres under water is equivalent to about 1/3 of what is needed for the entire reservoir. There is so much vagary right now in terms of willingness of other property owners to participate in this project as well as the reaction from the Army Corp of Engineers in terms of what they believe and the time required to make a decision.

Mrs. Allen said she is concerned that the proffer's long term value for the County may not be able to be used, in which case the proffer would revert to today's cash proffer amount that would be inadequate to cover the costs associated with the development. In that instance, the County would not have water impoundment either.

Mrs. Allen noted the applicant has proffered \$2,000 for each residential lot and \$10,000 for each commercial lot in the absence of a traffic study. This seems to be an unknown contribution for unknown road improvement requirements added to the unknown availability of VDOT matching funds. Mrs. Allen said she found this to be very alarming and did not think the County can afford this development at this time.

Mr. Morris said Mr. Estes, who owns the majority of the land, originally contacted the Board with the plan about a reservoir. Mr. Estes indicated his willingness to work with the County.

Mrs. Allen said she personally was not aware of the willingness of any other property owner at this point to work with County on a reservoir.

Mr. Morris suggested the Board check with their engineer who was present at a meeting with Mr. Estes.

Mr. Skeens felt this development could overwhelm the schools, Sheriff's Office, Department of Social Services, etc. If no development is planned for at least five years the developer could wait to see what happens and not rush. Mr. Skeens said he saw the plan a year or so ago and there doesn't seem to be a lot of improvement especially with the number of units. He asked if the \$2,000 proffer is for each unit or each lot. Mr. Morris responded that there will be 730 units. Mr. Skeens felt the project would require further review as it is too much right now.

Mr. Schmitt agreed with comments by both Mrs. Allen and Mr. Skeens. The addition of this property to the Comprehensive Plan growth map was obviously done with the intention of possibly developing a plan that could include an impoundment for the County which is a project the County needs to take very seriously and work very seriously on. He noted the water supply plan looks at various options. The more the County digs into this the more complicated it gets. The regulators are going to play a very big role. Right now there is no potential site that has been designated as primary.

The addition to the growth area obviously opened up the potential for Mr. Morris to proffer in some property that could be part of the reservoir project. Mr. Schmitt felt that, at this stage, it remains undetermined if that proffer is worth anything or not as the site could be ruled out by regulators. Mr. Schmitt did not like that kind of uncertainty and the proffer of this land being the primary proffer of this project. He did not think anyone who ever worked on the Comp Plan before had envisioned anything like this kind of development, which is out of character, for the Quinque area. There are real impacts on infrastructure, schools, services, etc. There is plenty of commercial space available. This is a beautiful piece of land and there are some uses

that could be made of it that could be dynamic and dramatic for the County and very positive. The 730 homes and all they would bring is way over the top, excessive in almost every way, and over crowding of the land. Mr. Schmitt said this is certainly not what Greene County needs for its future and said he does not support the project.

The Chairman felt the Comprehensive Plan would support the rezoning as, over time, the area has evolved to be a target area for growth. He felt the proffer system is arbitrary at best but is the only way prescribed by the Commonwealth of VA to try to offset the impact of development. The primary focus of the rezone is to make sure the County can provide essential services for citizens including new citizens who would reside in the development. Mr. Catalano felt the proffer system will be regulated by the State in the future as legislation is currently being reviewed.

Mr. Catalano felt language was missing from the proffers guaranteeing public sewer to residential structures in the event that any private water system would be developed in the future. The proffers are rather nondescript in regards to hook up to off site connectors to infrastructure at the developer's expense.

Mr. Catalano said he understood the rationale on both sides of argument in regards to transportation issues. Mr. Svoboda said VDOT will only guarantee their authenticity or situation for two to three years tops because of how traffic changes. Mr. Catalano agreed with concerns about the density and lack of information on the full impact of traffic on secondary roads.

Mr. Catalano clarified that the water impoundment is not for this development only but rather is for the betterment of the entire community. The County has been working on a water supply plan for the last seven years and this is part of that process. Successful completion of

water impoundment would make this project much more attractive and would not supply just this area, but the entire county.

The County has run into some complicating factors regarding the impoundment which brings into question whether or not the project could be qualified/certified/approved within a five year period of time. Mr. Catalano said he did not understand why the stream remediation was not anticipated. This is a problem that has to be worked through in regards to having a successful impoundment. There is also negotiation that would need to take place with other landowners. He felt circumstances surrounding the impoundment at this point have a higher expectation of derailing the process. He said he was very nervous that the County would not be able to provide services to the development of this size. He was not saying the County would not be able to provide services to a lesser subdivision but the density of this development, without water impoundment in place, might create problems. Mr. Catalano suggested the Board consider deferral of this request until an official ruling on water impoundment is received.

Mrs. Allen questioned the limitation on hearing the request within a reasonable period of time. Mr. Svoboda said the request could be deferred for sixty days. Mrs. Allen did not feel it would be possible to get an answer from the Army Corp of Engineers within sixty days.

Mr. Catalano felt, if the impoundment is going to work, then it makes it all work. He felt there is "wobble room" for this to become a good project for the entire county and all parties involved. He said the process is getting more complicated and answers are needed.

Mrs. Allen was not sure the 730 units would work for her even with water impoundment. She felt the density, with or without water impoundment, is too great.

Mr. Catalano said his number one issue is water impoundment.

In response to a question by Mr. Schmitt, Mr. Svoboda said the applicant could reapply after one year if this request is denied tonight. Mr. Schmitt felt the Board would still be discussing water impoundment in one year.

Mrs. Allen noted water impoundment was the number one issue on her list seven years ago when she was elected to the Board.

Mr. Schmitt said this is a very difficult issue.

Mr. Catalano felt the success of impoundment would lend some attractiveness to this request. He said there is a very complicated financial analysis and it is only fair to treat it as an ongoing negotiation to try to get issues straight.

Mrs. Allen felt it was important to remember that there are other impoundment sites which right now are rated higher because of stream remediation.

Mr. Catalano felt the Board does not have the proper information.

Mr. Morris asked why the Army Corp of Engineers was not contacted 2½ years ago rather than waste tax payers money. Mrs. Allen noted the charge for stream remediation is \$600 per foot.

Mr. Catalano said the whole process is horrific and the parameters in which the County has to work with the Corp of Engineers and DEQ are counterproductive. However, this is not the issue at hand tonight.

Mr. Peyton agreed with Mr. Catalano that the issue should not be killed. He said perhaps the County hasn't done all its homework and is not where it should be with impoundment. He agreed with deferral of the request.

Mr. Schmitt felt deferral for sixty days would not allow time for any new information.

Mrs. Allen agreed and said, in fairness to the applicant, a decision should be made tonight.

Mr. Morris said he already had his answer and indicated he was done. He also said the County should not contact him for further discussion of water impoundment.

Upon motion by Carl Schmitt and affirmative vote, the Board denied the request from Carroll & Lynette Morris/K & B Properties to rezone from A-1, Agriculture, to B-2, Business and R-2, Residential, approximately 327.54 acres located on Spotswood Trail, Dunnes Shop Road, and M & M Road and identified on County Tax Maps as 50-(A)-42A, 42B, 45 and 59-(A)-19, 19A, 21, 25, 26, 27 and 28A. (RZ#06-002)

Recorded vote:	Steve Catalano	-	No
	Clarence Peyton	-	No
	Jeri Allen	-	Yes
	Carl Schmitt	-	Yes
	Mike Skeens	-	Yes

Motion carried.

RE: MATTERS FROM THE PUBLIC

There were no matters from the public.

RE: CONSENT AGENDA

Upon motion by Jeri Allen and unanimous vote, the Board approved the following items, as revised, on the consent agenda:

- a. Minutes of the July 8 meeting.
- b. Resolution to transfer sponsorship responsibilities for TEA-21 grants to Thomas Jefferson Planning District Commission. (See Attachment "A")
- c. Letter of support for the Greene County Transit, Inc. (See Attachment "B")
- d. Resolution to accept and appropriate \$29,427 to the Sheriff's Department from the Department of Criminal Justice Services for School Resource Officer. (See Attachment "C")

- e. Resolution of support for Stanardsville Volunteer Fire Company. (See Attachment "D")

Recorded vote:	Steve Catalano	-	Yes
	Clarence Peyton	-	Yes
	Jeri Allen	-	Yes
	Carl Schmitt	-	Yes
	Mike Skeens	-	Yes

Motion carried.

RE: OTHER MATTERS FROM THE BOARD

STATE BUDGET REDUCTIONS

Mrs. Tracy Morris, Finance Director, reviewed the State budget reductions which now total \$107,246. The bulk of the additional \$4,700 is for CSA and the Jail. The Board's request to cut individual departments is not applicable. A check for the entire amount must be sent to the State.

The Board instructed the County Administrator to schedule a meeting with Constitutional Officers to discuss budget reductions. The Chairman will attend the meeting.

The Board also instructed the County Administrator to invite Delegate Bell and Senator Hanger to attend September board meeting to discuss legislative issues.

It was the consensus of the Board to send a check for the entire amount and mail it to the State on the last possible day.

LIAISON REPORTS

Mrs. Allen said RSA discussed the rate increase as requested by the County. A response should be received next month.

Mrs. Allen said the TJPDC has agreed to act as sponsor for STAR and is working on finalizing a contract. It has been suggested that the project be phased to guard against going over budget.

Mrs. Allen said Senate Bill 768, which is the substitution of impact fees for proffers, is being considered. Mr. David Blount, Legislative Liaison, is to address the Commission at their meeting on September 4.

Mrs. Allen also said the Board should soon be receiving a request to approve a resolution of support for the Regional Housing Conference findings regarding affordable housing. The County's work with accessory apartments and conversation with JABA regarding senior low income housing should qualify.

Mr. Schmitt distributed copies of his report on the trip by County and School representatives to Hanover County on July 7.

Mr. Peyton noted the County and School Finance Officers in Hanover County actually start the budget process in July. Both boards meet to look at projections for the next budget session in September. He also noted Hanover County prorates property tax and the School Board is appointed rather than elected.

Mr. Schmitt also discussed the Park and Ride Program. He suggested the County Administrator contact either Lowe's or Wal-Mart to discuss dedication of parking space for a Park and Ride Program. The Chairman said Ruckersville Volunteer Fire Department has indicated interest in providing space for a Park and Ride Program.

Mr. Peyton said he and Mr. Herb White met with the Stanardsville Town Council to review a proposed resolution regarding regional water supply planning.

Mrs. Allen said the Planning Commission has revised their by-laws. The Planning Commission also reviewed a revision of the Capital Improvements Plan.


The Chairman said the Sheriff's Office is working on an internal anti-idling policy. He suggested an internal evaluation of county cars (i.e. where cars are going, tracking mileage, etc.) to ensure efficient use of vehicles. Mr. Schmitt suggested the school system vehicles be included in the evaluation.

RE: COUNTY ATTORNEY

Mr. Clarke informed the Board that the Code of Virginia provides for a deferral of a rezone request for up to twelve months. The County Ordinance allows a rezone that has been denied to be resubmitted in six months.

RE: ADJOURN MEETING

As there was no further business, the meeting was adjourned.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'S. Catalano', is written over a horizontal line.

Steve Catalano, Chairman
Greene County Board of Supervisors

**RESOLUTION FOR TRANSFER OF SPONSORSHIP RESPONSIBILITIES
TO TJPDC**

WHEREAS, the County of Greene and the Town of Stanardsville recognize the importance of revitalizing the county seat in order to improve the quality of life for Greene residents, stimulate economic growth and attract more visitors to Greene; and

WHEREAS, the County of Greene and /or the Town of Stanardsville signed as "Sponsor" for grants to improve sidewalks, safety crossings, lighting and other amenities under Transportation Enhancement Act (TEA-21) applications and contracts during the 2003 to 2007 period; and

WHEREAS, the Commonwealth Transportation Board has awarded the County of Greene and the Town of Stanardsville a total of \$674,000 in grants for these purposes during this period; and

WHEREAS, local matching funds in the amount of \$169,000 have been committed by the Greene County Economic Development Authority, the Town of Stanardsville, community organizations, businesses and individuals for these grants; and


WHEREAS, the County of Greene has very limited administrative and financial resources, and has no experience with performing as Sponsor for TEA-21 grants; and

WHEREAS, the Thomas Jefferson Planning District Commission has considerable experience with TEA-21 and similar grants; and

WHEREAS, the Thomas Jefferson Planning Commission unanimously agreed at its July 17, 2008 board meeting to become the Sponsor for the TEA-21 grants for the County of Greene and the Town of Stanardsville.

THEREFORE, the Board of Supervisors for the County of Greene requests the Virginia Department of Transportation to re-assign the County's role as Sponsor for the TEA-21 grants for the STanardsville Area Revitalization projects to the Thomas Jefferson Planning District Commission.

Adopted in Open Meeting this 22nd day of July, 2008



Steve Catalano, Chairman
Greene County Board of Supervisors

Board of Supervisors
July 22, 2008
Attachment "B"



BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

STEVE CATALANO
CHAIRMAN AT LARGE

CLARENCE PEYTON
VICE CHAIRMAN
STANARDSVILLE DISTRICT

MIKE SKEENS
MONROE DISTRICT

OFFICE OF THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR
POST OFFICE BOX 358
STANARDSVILLE, VIRGINIA 22973
434-985-5201
FAX: 434-985-3705

JERI ALLEN
RUCKERSVILLE DISTRICT

CARL SCHMITT
AT LARGE

BARRY CLARK
COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

July 22, 2008

Virginia Department of Rail and Public Transportation
P. O. Box 590
Richmond, VA 23218

RE: Greene County Transit, Inc.

Dear Sirs:

The Greene County Board of Supervisors fully supports the Greene County Transit, Inc. Program and feels the need for mass public transportation is of the utmost importance. We feel the organization, under the direction of Mrs. Ginger M. Morris as Administrator, is providing excellent public transportation service to our citizens.

The Transit Program is a great asset to the community and has attained a high level of service that exemplifies goals in regards to safety, efficiency and economy of operation. Greene County Transit has grown significantly since its inception and we look forward to the continuation and expansion of this very important program.

Sincerely,

Steve Catalano, Chairman
Greene County Board of Supervisors

Barry J. Clark
County Administrator

**RESOLUTION TO ACCEPT AND APPROPRIATE
TWENTY NINE THOUSAND FOUR HUNDRED TWENTY SEVEN
DOLLARS FOR THE SCHOOL RESOURCE OFFICER GRANT**


WHEREAS, the of the County of Greene has received funding from the Department of Criminal Justice Services for a School Resource Officer; and


WHEREAS, the funds in the amount of twenty nine thousand four hundred twenty seven dollars (\$29,427) need to be appropriated to the appropriate line item in the 2008-2009 budget of the County of Greene, Virginia.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT AND IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Greene, Virginia that twenty nine thousand four hundred twenty seven dollars (\$29,427) be appropriated to the 2008-2009 budget of the County of Greene.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the County Administrator of the County of Greene, Virginia is authorized to make the appropriate accounting adjustments in the budget to do all things necessary to give this resolution effect.

Adopted this 22nd day of July, 2008.


Chairman


Barry Clark, Clerk


RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, the Greene County Board of Supervisors recognizes the importance of emergency medical services in our County; and

WHEREAS, the Greene County Board of Supervisors also recognizes the Stanardsville Volunteer Fire Company as a bonafide emergency response agency; and

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Greene County Board of Supervisors does support and encourage the Stanardsville Volunteer Fire Company to be an emergency services first responder agency.

Adopted in Open Meeting this 22nd day of July, 2008.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'S. Catalano', is written over a horizontal line. The signature is stylized with large loops and a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Steve Catalano, Chairman
Greene County Board of Supervisors